

Amendment and Reply

Applicant: Jos Jaspers, et al.

Serial No.: 10/749,421

Filed: December 31, 2003

Docket No.: 200901437-1

Title: CONTENT MANAGEMENT IN WEB ENVIRONMENTS

REMARKS

The following remarks are made in response to the Final Office Action mailed June 25, 2009. Claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-17, 20, and 22-27 were rejected. Claim 1 has been objected to. With this Response, claims 1 and 14 have been amended. Claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-17, 20, and 22-27 remain pending in the application and are presented for reconsideration and allowance.

Claim Objections and Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

In the Office Action, claim 1 was objected to because the feature “parameters for each of the plurality;” appears to be missing additional words. Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the features of “parameters for each of the plurality of the different selectable components;” and this amended has also been made to claim 14. Applicants request that the objection be withdrawn.

In the Office Action, independent claims 1 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, and the dependent claims were similarly rejected. Claims 1 and 14 have been amended to replace the features of “independent of identifying a navigational structure of the Web environment” with the features of “wherein the received data corresponding to the request to navigate does not include data identifying a navigational structure of the Web environment.” Support for these amended features is included throughout the context of the specification and at least on page 5, lines 19-21, which states, “As a result, the content publishers do not need to know the navigational structures of the site or perform coding of relationships among the web pages to be published.” Thus, Applicants respectfully request that the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the Office Action, claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-17, 20 and 22-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Underwood et al. U.S. Patent 7,152,207 B1 (the Underwood Patent). With this response, independent claims 1 and 14 are amended.

Amendment and Reply

Applicant: Jos Jaspers, et al.

Serial No.: 10/749,421

Filed: December 31, 2003

Docket No.: 200901437-1

Title: CONTENT MANAGEMENT IN WEB ENVIRONMENTS

More particularly, claims 1 and 14 include the features of receive or receiving “data corresponding to a request to navigate through links in the Web page to a particular location within the Web page wherein the received data corresponding to the request to navigate does not include data identifying a navigational structure of the Web environment.” Support for these features is included throughout the context of the specification and at least on page 5, lines 14-17 and 19-21, which states, “[Option 1] This navigation can be accomplished by navigating through links in the new site to a web page (or a parent web page) where the content is to be published. Alternatively, [Option 2] navigation may involve navigating a folder hierarchy that corresponds to the logical structure of the new site. . . . As a result, the content publishers do not need to know the navigational structures of the site or perform coding of relationships among the web pages to be published.”

This feature is not shown in the Underwood Patent. At best, the Underwood Patent only shows navigating a folder hierarchy as argued in the Office Action. There is no teaching in the Underwood Patent corresponding to navigating with option 1, as set forth in the specification and distinguished from option 2. Thus, there is no teaching of the amended features of claims 1 and 14.

Claims 3-4, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 20, and 22-26 depend directly or indirectly from one of independent claims 1 and 14. By virtue of the dependency to the patentable independent claims, claims 3-4, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 20, and 22-26 also are patentable over the Underwood Patent.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 102(e) be withdrawn and these claims be allowed.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the Office Action, claim 27 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Underwood Patent and the Maeno et al. U.S. Patent 7,299,414 B2 (the Maeno Patent). Claim 27 depends from independent claim 1. As discussed above, the Underwood Patent does not include a teaching corresponding to the amended claim features in claim 1 of receive or receiving “data corresponding to a request to navigate through links in the Web page to a particular location within the Web page wherein the received data corresponding to the request to navigate does not include data identifying a navigational

Amendment and Reply

Applicant: Jos Jaspers, et al.

Serial No.: 10/749,421

Filed: December 31, 2003

Docket No.: 200901437-1

Title: CONTENT MANAGEMENT IN WEB ENVIRONMENTS

structure of the Web environment.” The Maeno Patent also does not provide a teaching of these features. Because these features are missing from each of the references separately, the features cannot be found in any proposed combination of the reference.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of claim 27 be withdrawn and this claim be allowed.

Amendment and Reply

Applicant: Jos Jaspers, et al.

Serial No.: 10/749,421

Filed: December 31, 2003

Docket No.: 200901437-1

Title: CONTENT MANAGEMENT IN WEB ENVIRONMENTS

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-20, and 22-27 are in form for allowance and are not taught or suggested by the cited references. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 1, 3-4, 8, 10-17, 20, and 22-27 is respectfully requested.

No fees are required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16(h)(i). However, if such fees are required, the Patent Office is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

The Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's representative at the below-listed telephone numbers to facilitate prosecution of this application.

Any inquiry regarding this Amendment and Response should be directed to Patrick G. Billig at Telephone No. (612) 573-2003, Facsimile No. (612) 573-2005. In addition, all correspondence should continue to be directed to the following address:

Hewlett-Packard Company
Intellectual Property Administration
3404 E. Harmony Road
Mail Stop 35
Fort Collins CO 80528-9599

Respectfully submitted,

Jos Jaspers, et al.,

By their attorneys,

DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC
Fifth Street Towers, Suite 2250
100 South Fifth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 573-2003
Facsimile: (612) 573-2005

Date: September 25, 2009

PGB:RH:cms

/Patrick G. Billig/

Patrick G. Billig

Reg. No. 38,080